arXiv:1502.03684v1 [hep-th] 12 Feb 2015

Spin-chain with PSU(2|2)$\otimes$U(1)^3 and Non-linear σ-model with D(2,1;γ)

Shogo Aoyama* Yuco Honda †
Department of Physics
Shizuoka University
Ohya 836, Shizuoka
Japan

February 13, 2015

Abstract

We propose that the spin-chain with the PSU(2|2)$\otimes$U(1)^3 symmetry is equivalent to the non-linear σ-model on PSU(2|2)$\otimes$U(1)^3/{H$\otimes$U(1)} with a certain subgroup. To this end we show that the spin-variable of the former theory is identified as the Killing scalar of the latter and their correlation functions can have the same integrability. It is crucial to think that the respective theory gets the PSU(2|2)$\otimes$U(1)^3 symmetry by a symmetry reduction the exceptional supergroup D(2,1;γ), rather than by an extension of PSU(2|2).

Keywords
Non-linear σ-model, Spin-chain, Supergroup, R-matrix

---

*e-mail: spsaoya@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp
†e-mail: yufrau@hotmail.co.jp

1 Introduction

The study of the string/QCD duality has a long history going back to the late 1970s. During the decade the subject has been studied with a renewed interest. The most clear-cut assertion of the string/QCD duality was made by calculating the anomalous dimensions of a spin-chain system on one side and the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY QCD on the other and showing a remarkable agreement between them[1]. A spin-chain system of variables $\psi_m(x)$ was defined by correlation functions taking the form[2]

$$e^{ip_1x_1+ip_2x_2+\cdots\cdots} < \psi_{m_1}(x_1)\psi_{m_2}(x_2)\cdots\cdots > . \tag{1}$$

Here $\psi_m(x)$ is assumed to be the fundamental vector of the PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 symmetry. It is also assumed that the correlation functions obey the exchange algebra with the R-matrix for two adjacent variables $\psi_m(x)$s. If the symmetry of the spin-system were strictly PSU(2|2), the R-matrix would be universally given by the plug-in formula for PSU(2|2)[3, 4], and the correlation functions would be position-independent as those of the topological theory[5]. The PSU(2|2) symmetry had to be centrally extended to PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 in order to give an account of the duality to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY QCD. A position-dependent R-matrix was found in an explicit form[2], which is not of the difference form of the two spectral parameters. This unusual feature of the R-matrix attracted a vivid interest among the community of mathematical physics[6]. A keen insight into the matter was given by realizing PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 as a symmetry reduction of the exceptional group D(2,1;γ)[2, 7].

The above arguments are based on the integrability and the PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 symmetry. They are just assumed and their origin is obscure. These assumptions get a firm base by considering a 2-d non-linear σ-model with the PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 symmetry as an equivalent theory to the spin-system. Namely the non-linear σ-model is integrable admitting an infinite number of conserved currents. The PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 symmetry is regarded as descending from the superconformal symmetry of the IIB superstring.

According to [8] a quantity corresponding to the spin-variable ψ_m with the PSU(2|2) symmetry may be constructed as the Killing scalar on a coset space PSU(2|2)/H with a certain group.[1] The exchange algebra for the Killing scalar may be discussed by studying the Poisson structure in the non-linear σ-model on PSU(2|2)/H. The R-matrix of the exchange algebra is universal following the quantization of [8].

The aim of this letter is to show that when the spin-system has the centrally extended symmetry PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 [2], the equivalent theory is the non-linear σ-model on an enlarged coset space PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3/{H⊗U(1)}. That is, we show that the Killing scalar of this generalized non-linear σ-model has the same transformation property as the spin-variable ψ_m with the PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 symmetry. As the result the non-linear σ-model has the position dependent R-matrix of the spin-system and correlation functions taking the centrally extended form identical to (1). But the coset space PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3/{H⊗U(1)} is meaningless as it is, because the ordinary non-linear realization is not applicable to a non-simple group such as PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3. To give it a precise meaning we consider the non-linear σ-model on a further enlarged coset space D(2,1;γ)/{H⊗U(1)}. The non-linear σ-model on PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3/{H⊗U(1)} is defined by the symmetry reduction of D(2,1;γ) to PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 in the model thus generalized. The symmetry reduction is undertaken in the same way as was done for the spin-system[2, 7].

---

[1] The Killing scalar was discussed originally as a quantity called the G-primary in the constrained WZWN model on the coset space G/{H⊗U(1)^d}[9, 10]. In the context of the non-linear σ-model it was discussed in [11]

This letter is organized as follows. In section 2 we explain the Lie-algebra of D(2,1;γ) and a reducing process to go to the subgroups PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3, SU(2|2), PSU(2|2) at an algebraic level. In section 3 the matrix representation for those subgroups are given. The non-linear representation of D(2,1;γ) is discussed in section 4. In section 5 we undertake the symmetry reduction, discussed at the algebraic level in section 2, in the coset space D(2,1;γ)/{H⊗U(1)^3}. It is then shwon that the non-linear σ-model on the reduced coset space is equivalent to the spin-chain with the PSU(2|2) symmetry.

2 The Lie-algebra of D(2,1;γ)

The Lie-algebra of D(2,1;γ) is given by 17 generators

$$\{T_{\mathrm{D}}^{\Xi}\} = \{F^{\alpha a\dot{\alpha}}, L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, R^{a}{}_{b}, \dot{L}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\}. \tag{2}$$

$F^{\alpha a\dot{\alpha}}, L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, R^{a}{}_{b}, \dot{L}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}$ generate the subgroup SU(2)⊗SU(2)⊗SU(2) and $F^{\alpha a\dot{\alpha}}$ are fermionic generators which enlarge the subgroup to D(2,1;γ). The Lie-algebra takes the form[2, 7]

$$[L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, L^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}] = -\delta^{\gamma}_{\beta}L^{\alpha}{}_{\delta} + \delta^{\alpha}_{\delta}L^{\gamma}{}_{\beta}, \qquad [R^{a}{}_{b}, R^{c}{}_{d}] = -\delta^{c}_{b}R^{a}{}_{d} + \delta^{a}_{d}R^{c}{}_{b}, \tag{3}$$

$$[\dot{L}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}, \dot{L}^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}}] = -\delta^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\beta}}\dot{L}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} + \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\delta}}\dot{L}^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}, \tag{4}$$

$$[L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, F^{\gamma c\dot{\gamma}}] = -\delta^{\gamma}_{\beta}F^{\alpha c\dot{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}F^{\gamma c\dot{\gamma}}, \qquad [R^{a}{}_{b}, F^{\gamma c\dot{\gamma}}] = -\delta^{c}_{b}F^{\gamma a\dot{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{a}_{b}F^{\gamma c\dot{\gamma}}, \tag{5}$$

$$[\dot{L}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}, F^{\gamma c\dot{\gamma}}] = -\delta^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\beta}}F^{\gamma c\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}}F^{\gamma c\dot{\gamma}}, \tag{6}$$

$$\{F^{\alpha a\dot{\alpha}}, F^{\beta b\dot{\beta}}\} = \alpha\epsilon^{\alpha\kappa}\epsilon^{ab}\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}L^{\beta}{}_{\kappa} + \beta\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ak}\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}R^{b}{}_{k} + \gamma\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ab}\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\kappa}}\dot{L}^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\kappa}}, \tag{7}$$

with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$. The overall scaling does not change the algebraic structure. The algebra is characterized by the only parameter $\varepsilon = -\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$. With the definition

$$\dot{L}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} C & K \\ \hline -P & -C \end{array}\right]^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}, \qquad \left[F^{\alpha a}\right]^{\dot{\alpha}} = \left[\begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{ak}S^{\alpha}{}_{k} \\ \hline \epsilon^{\alpha\kappa}Q^{a}{}_{\kappa} \end{array}\right]^{\dot{\alpha}},$$

(2) is decomposed as

$$\{T_{\mathrm{D}}^{\Xi}\} = \{Q^{a}{}_{\alpha}, S^{\alpha}{}_{a}, L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, R^{a}{}_{b}, C, P, K\}. \tag{8}$$

In this base the algebrae (4), (6) and (7) become

$$\{Q^{a}{}_{\alpha}, Q^{b}{}_{\beta}\} = \gamma\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ab}P, \qquad \{S^{\alpha}{}_{a}, S^{\beta}{}_{b}\} = \gamma\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{ab}K, \tag{9}$$

$$\{Q^a{}_\alpha, S^\beta{}_b\} = \alpha\delta^a_b L^\beta{}_\alpha - \beta\delta^\beta_\alpha R^a{}_b + \gamma\delta^\beta_\alpha\delta^a_b C, \tag{10}$$

$$[C,P] = P, \qquad [C,K] = -K, \qquad [P,K] = -2C, \tag{11}$$

$$[C, S^\alpha{}_a] = -\frac{1}{2}S^\alpha{}_a, \qquad [P, S^\alpha{}_a] = -\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{ab}Q^b{}_\beta, \qquad [K, S^\alpha{}_a] = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$[C, Q^a{}_\alpha] = \frac{1}{2}Q^a{}_\alpha, \qquad [P, Q^a{}_\alpha] = 0, \qquad [K, Q^a{}_\alpha] = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ab}S^\beta{}_b, \tag{13}$$

and the algebrae (3) and (5) do not change the forms, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[L^\alpha{}_\beta, L^\gamma{}_\delta] = -\delta^\gamma_\beta L^\alpha{}_\delta + \delta^\alpha_\delta L^\gamma{}_\beta, && [R^a{}_b, R^c{}_d] = -\delta^c_b R^a{}_d + \delta^a_d R^c{}_b,\\
&[L^\alpha{}_\beta, S^\gamma{}_c] = -\delta^\gamma_\beta S^\alpha{}_c + \frac{1}{2}\delta^\alpha_\beta S^\gamma{}_c, && [R^a{}_b, Q^c{}_\gamma] = -\delta^c_b Q^a{}_\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\delta^a_b Q^c{}_\gamma,\\
&[L^\alpha{}_\beta, Q^c{}_\gamma] = \delta^\alpha_\gamma Q^c{}_\beta - \frac{1}{2}\delta^\alpha_\beta Q^c{}_\gamma, && [R^a{}_b, S^\gamma{}_c] = \delta^a_c S^\gamma{}_b - \frac{1}{2}\delta^a_b S^\gamma{}_c,
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The quadratic Casimir is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= \alpha L^\alpha{}_\beta L^\beta{}_\alpha + \beta R^a{}_b R^b{}_a + \gamma \dot{L}^{\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta}\dot{L}^{\dot\beta}{}_{\dot\alpha} - \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{ab}\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}F^{\alpha b\dot\alpha}F^{\beta b\dot\beta}\\
&= \alpha L^\alpha{}_\beta L^\beta{}_\alpha + \beta R^a{}_b R^b{}_a + \gamma(2C^2 - PK - KP) + S^\alpha{}_a Q^a{}_\alpha - Q^a{}_\alpha S^\alpha{}_a,
\end{aligned}$$

in the respective basis of the algebrae (3)∼(7) and (9)∼(14).

To obtain the Lie-algebra of PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3, we rescale as $(C,P,K) \to \frac{1}{\gamma}(C,P,K)$[2, 7]. In the limit $\gamma \to 0$[2] (9) and (10) become

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{Q^a{}_\alpha, Q^b{}_\beta\} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ab}P, \qquad \{S^\alpha{}_a, S^\beta{}_b\} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{ab}K,\\
&\{Q^a{}_\alpha, S^\beta{}_b\} = \alpha\delta^a_b L^\beta{}_\alpha - \beta\delta^\beta_\alpha R^a{}_b + \delta^\beta_\alpha\delta^a_b C,
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with $\alpha + \beta = 0$, while (11)∼(13) vanishing algebrae. Together with the algebrae (14) they are closed to the centrally extended algebra of PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3. We denote the generators of this algebra by

$$\{T^\Xi_{\mathrm{D}\to\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}\} = \{Q^a{}_\alpha, S^\alpha{}_a, L^\alpha{}_\beta, R^a{}_b, C, P, K\}. \tag{16}$$

Here the generators are the same one as given by (8), but by the above rescaling the SU(2) symmetry given by (11) is broken to U(1)^3. We shall call this symmetry reduction the PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 limit. Actually the algebrae (14) and (15) are closed to even smaller algebrae, of which generators are

$$\{T^\Xi_{\mathrm{D}\to\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}\}\Big|_{P=K=0} = \{T^\Xi_{\mathrm{SU}}\} = \{Q^a{}_\alpha, S^\alpha{}_a, L^\alpha{}_\beta, R^a{}_b, C\}, \tag{17}$$

and

$$\{T^\Xi_{\mathrm{D}\to\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}\}\Big|_{C=P=K=0} = \{T^\Xi_{\mathrm{PSU}}\} = \{Q^a{}_\alpha, S^\alpha{}_a, L^\alpha{}_\beta, R^a{}_b\}. \tag{18}$$

The symmetry reductions are called the SU(2|2) and PSU(2|2) limits respectively.

---

[2] In [2, 7] they took the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ for $\alpha = 1, \beta = -1-\epsilon, \gamma = \epsilon$. It is the same as our limit by overall scaling.

3 Matrix representation

The generators of PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 in (14) and (15) can be represented by 4×4 matrices[2, 7] as

$$L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \delta^{\alpha}_{\delta}\delta^{\gamma}_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}\delta^{\gamma}_{\delta} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \qquad R^{a}{}_{b}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \delta^{\alpha}_{\delta}\delta^{\gamma}_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}\delta^{\gamma}_{\delta} \end{array}\right),$$

$$Q^{a}{}_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \mathcal{A}\delta^{\gamma}_{\alpha}\delta^{a}_{d} \\ \hline \mathcal{B}\epsilon^{ac}\epsilon_{\alpha\delta} & 0 \end{array}\right), \qquad S^{\alpha}{}_{a}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \mathcal{C}\epsilon_{ad}\epsilon^{\alpha\gamma} \\ \hline \mathcal{D}\delta^{\alpha}_{\delta}\delta^{c}_{a} & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

$$P=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\delta^{\gamma}_{\delta} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\delta^{c}_{d} \end{array}\right), \qquad K=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}\delta^{\gamma}_{\delta} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}\delta^{c}_{d} \end{array}\right),$$

$$C=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C})\delta^{\gamma}_{\delta} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C})\delta^{c}_{d} \end{array}\right), \tag{19}$$

with the index notation of a 4×4 supermatrix

$$t=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} t^{\gamma}{}_{\delta} & t^{\gamma}{}_{d} \\ \hline t^{c}{}_{\delta} & t^{c}{}_{d} \end{array}\right).$$

Here the constants $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}$ are constrained by

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}=\alpha=-\beta. \tag{20}$$

Let ψ to be a linear representation vector of PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3. It transforms as

$$\delta\psi=i\epsilon\cdot T^{\Xi}_{\mathrm{D}\to\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}\ \psi\equiv\left(\begin{array}{c|c} {\epsilon_L}^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}-\frac{1}{2}\delta^{\gamma}_{\delta}\epsilon_L+\delta^{\gamma}_{\delta}c & \mathcal{A}{\epsilon_Q}^{\gamma}{}_{d}+\mathcal{C}{\epsilon_S}^{b}{}_{\beta}\epsilon^{\beta\gamma}\epsilon_{bd} \\ \hline \mathcal{D}{\epsilon_S}^{c}{}_{\delta}+\mathcal{B}{\epsilon_Q}^{\alpha}{}_{a}\epsilon^{ac}\epsilon_{\alpha\delta} & {\epsilon_R}^{c}{}_{d}-\frac{1}{2}\delta^{c}_{d}\epsilon_R+\delta^{c}_{d}c \end{array}\right)\psi, \tag{21}$$

with

$$c=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_C(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C})+\epsilon_P\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}+\epsilon_K\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}$$

Here the generators $T_{D\to \mathrm{PSU}\otimes \mathrm{U}(1)^3}$ were given in (16), and use was made of the corresponding infinitesimal parameters

$$\{\epsilon^{\Xi}\} = \{{\epsilon_L}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, {\epsilon_R}^{a}{}_{b}, {\epsilon_Q}^{\alpha}{}_{a}, {\epsilon_S}^{a}{}_{\alpha}, \epsilon_C, \epsilon_P, \epsilon_K\}.$$

When $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C} = 0$ (21) becomes the linear transformation of SU(2|2)(=PSU(2|2)⊗U(1))

$$\delta\psi = i\epsilon \cdot T^{\Xi}_{\mathrm{SU}}\ \psi \equiv \left(\begin{array}{c|c} {\epsilon_L}^{\gamma}{}_{\delta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\gamma}_{\delta}\epsilon_L + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\gamma}_{\delta}\epsilon_C & \mathcal{A}{\epsilon_Q}^{\gamma}{}_{d} \\ \hline \mathcal{D}{\epsilon_S}^{c}{}_{\delta} & {\epsilon_R}^{c}{}_{d} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{c}_{d}\epsilon_R + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{c}_{d}\epsilon_C \end{array}\right) \psi, \tag{22}$$

with the constraints (20) simplified to be $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D} = \alpha = -\beta$. By $\epsilon_C = 0$ this becomes the PSU(2|2) limit of the transformation.

4 Non-linear representation of D(2,1;γ)

In this section we discuss the coset space D(2,1;γ)/{H⊗U(1)} which non-linearly realizes the exceptional supergroup D(2,1;γ), whose Lie-algebra was discussed in section 2. We choose the simplest case where H=SU(2)⊗SU(2). Then the generators of D(2,1;γ) are decomposed into the subsets denoted by

$$\{T^{\Xi}_{\mathrm{D}}\} = \{\underbrace{P, Q^{a}{}_{\alpha}}_{X^i}, \overbrace{\underbrace{K, S^{\alpha}{}_{a}}_{Y^i}, \underbrace{L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, R^{a}{}_{b}}_{H^I}}^{\hat{H}^I}, C\}.$$

D(2,1;γ)/{H⊗U(1)} is parametrized by the supercoordinates $\varphi^i = (x, \theta^{\alpha}{}_{a})$ and the complex conjugates $\sigma^i = (y, \omega^{a}{}_{\alpha})$ which correspond to the generators $\{X^i\}$ and $\{Y^i\}$ respectively. However at first we consider the coset space D(2,1;γ)$^{\mathrm{C}}$/{Ĥ ⊗ U(1)$^{\mathrm{C}}$}, in which D(2,1;γ)$^{\mathrm{C}}$ is the complex extension of D(2,1;γ) and Ĥ⊗U(1)$^{\mathrm{C}}$ is the complex subgroup generated by the generators $\hat{H}^I$ and C in the decomposition. Write a coset element of D(2,1;γ)$^{\mathrm{C}}$/{Ĥ⊗U(1)^{C}} as

$$e^{\varphi\cdot X} = e^{xP + \theta^{\alpha}{}_{a}Q^{a}{}_{\alpha}}.$$

For a left multiplication of an element $e^{i\epsilon\cdot T_{\mathrm{D}}} \in$ D(2,1;γ) the coset element changes as

$$e^{i\epsilon\cdot T_{\mathrm{D}}} e^{\varphi\cdot X} e^{-i[\lambda(\varphi,\epsilon)\cdot\hat{H} + \lambda_C(\varphi,\epsilon)C]} = e^{\varphi'(\varphi,\epsilon)\cdot X}, \tag{23}$$

with a compensator $e^{-i[\lambda(\varphi,\epsilon)\cdot\hat{H} + \lambda_C(\varphi,\epsilon)C]}$. Here use was made of

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon\cdot T_{\mathrm{D}} &= \epsilon_P P + \epsilon_Q\cdot Q + \epsilon_K K + \epsilon_S\cdot S + \epsilon_L\cdot L + \epsilon_R\cdot R + \epsilon_C C, \\ \lambda(\varphi,\epsilon)\cdot\hat{H} &= \lambda_K(\varphi,\epsilon)K + \lambda_S(\varphi,\epsilon)\cdot S + \lambda_L(\varphi,\epsilon)\cdot L + \lambda_R(\varphi,\epsilon)\cdot R. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

(23) defines the transformation of the coordinates $\varphi^i \to \varphi'^i(\varphi, \epsilon)$. When ϵ is infinitesimally small this relation defines the Killing vectors $R^{\Xi i}(\varphi)$ as

$$\delta\varphi^i = \epsilon^\Xi R^{\Xi i}(\varphi) = \Big(\epsilon^\Xi R^\Xi_P(\varphi), \epsilon^\Xi R^{\Xi\alpha}_{Q\ \ a}(\varphi)\Big),$$

and the parameter functions $\lambda^\Xi(\varphi)$ and $\lambda^\Xi_C(\varphi)$ of the compensator as

$$\delta\lambda(\varphi, \epsilon) \cdot \hat{H} + \delta\lambda_C(\varphi, \epsilon) C = \epsilon^\Xi [\lambda^\Xi(\varphi) \cdot \hat{H} + \lambda^\Xi_C(\varphi) C].$$

According to [12] they can be calculated in a purely algebraic way. We only outline the calculation. For the details the reader may refer to [13]. For infinitesimally small parameters ϵ^Ξ, we may write the transformation (23) as

$$e^{\varphi\cdot X + \epsilon^\Xi R^\Xi \cdot X + O(\epsilon^2)} = e^{i\epsilon\cdot T_{\rm D}} e^{\varphi\cdot X} e^{-i[\lambda(\varphi,\epsilon)\cdot\hat{H} + \lambda_C(\varphi,\epsilon)C]}.$$

This becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{\varphi\cdot X + \epsilon^\Xi R^\Xi\cdot X + O(\epsilon^2)} \\ &\quad = e^{\varphi\cdot X + i\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n (ad\ \varphi\cdot X)^n (\epsilon\cdot T_{\rm D}) - i\sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n \alpha_n (ad\ \varphi\cdot X)^n (\epsilon^\Xi[\lambda^\Xi(\varphi)\cdot\hat{H} + \lambda^\Xi_C(\varphi)C]) + O(\epsilon^2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

by using the following formulae: for matrices $\mathcal{E}$ and X

$$\exp\mathcal{E}\exp X = \exp\Big(X + \sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n (ad\ X)^n \mathcal{E} + O(\mathcal{E}^2)\Big), \tag{26}$$

$$\exp X\exp\mathcal{E} = \exp\Big(X + \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n \alpha_n (ad\ X)^n \mathcal{E} + O(\mathcal{E}^2)\Big), \tag{27}$$

if $\mathcal{E} \ll 1$. Here α_n are the constants

$$\alpha_0 = 1, \quad \alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{12}, \quad \alpha_3 = 0, \quad \alpha_4 = -\frac{1}{720}, \quad \cdots\cdots.$$

The quantity $(ad\ X)^n$ in (26) and (27) is a mapping defined by the n-ple commutator

$$(ad\ X)^n \mathcal{E} = [X, \cdots, [X, [X, \mathcal{E}]] \cdots].$$

These formulae were proved in appendix A of [12].

We calculate the multiple commutators in the r.h.s. of (25), assuming that the generators T^Ξ commute with φs, λs, ϵs irrespectively of their gradings.[3] We then expand $R^\Xi(\varphi)$, $\lambda^\Xi(\varphi)$, $\lambda^\Xi_C(\varphi)$ in series of φ^i:

$$\begin{aligned} R^\Xi(\varphi) &= R^\Xi_{(0)}(\varphi) + R^\Xi_{(1)}(\varphi) + \cdots + R^\Xi_{(n)}(\varphi) + \cdots, \\ \lambda^\Xi(\varphi) &= \lambda^\Xi_{(0)}(\varphi) + \lambda^\Xi_{(1)}(\varphi) + \cdots + \lambda^\Xi_{(n)}(\varphi) + \cdots, \\ \lambda^\Xi_C(\varphi) &= \lambda^\Xi_{C(0)}(\varphi) + \lambda^\Xi_{C(1)}(\varphi) + \cdots + \lambda^\Xi_{C(n)}(\varphi) + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

---

[3] For this assumption refer to appendix B of [12].

Comparing the powers of both sides of (25) order by order yields recursive relations for $R^{\Xi}_{(n)}(\varphi), \lambda^{\Xi}_{(n)}(\varphi), \lambda^{\Xi}_{C(n)}(\varphi)$. With the initial condition $\epsilon^{\Xi} R^{\Xi i}_{(0)} = (i\epsilon_P, i{\epsilon_Q}^{\alpha}{}_{a})$ we solve the relations for them. By lengthy calculations, but a purely algebraic use of (9)∼(14) we find that[13]

$$\begin{aligned}
-i\epsilon^{\Xi} R^{\Xi}_{P} &= \epsilon_P + \Big[-\frac{\gamma}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ab}\theta^{\alpha}{}_{a}\epsilon^{\beta}_{Qb} + \epsilon_C x\ \Big] + \frac{1}{2}(2\epsilon_K x - \gamma\theta\epsilon_S)x \\
&\quad - \frac{\gamma}{12}(\alpha-\beta)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ab}\theta^{\alpha}{}_{a}\theta^{\gamma}{}_{b}(\theta\epsilon_S)^{\beta}{}_{\gamma} - \frac{\gamma}{24}(\alpha-\beta)\epsilon_K\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\gamma\delta}\epsilon^{ab}\epsilon^{cd}\theta^{\alpha}{}_{d}\theta^{\beta}{}_{b}\theta^{\gamma}{}_{c}\theta^{\delta}{}_{a}, \\
-i\epsilon^{\Xi} R^{\Xi\alpha}_{Q\ a} &= {\epsilon_Q}^{\alpha}{}_{a} + \Big[x{\epsilon_S}^{b}{}_{\beta}\epsilon^{\beta\alpha}\epsilon_{ba} + (\theta\epsilon_L)^{\alpha}{}_{a} - (\theta\epsilon_R)^{\alpha}{}_{a} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_C\theta^{\alpha}{}_{a}\Big] + \epsilon_K x\theta^{\alpha}{}_{a} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\Big[(\alpha-\beta)\theta^{\beta}{}_{a}(\theta\epsilon_S)^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}[(\alpha+\beta-\gamma)\theta^{\alpha}{}_{a}(\theta\epsilon_S)\Big] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{6}(\alpha-\beta)\epsilon_K\epsilon_{\gamma\beta}\epsilon^{cb}\theta^{\alpha}{}_{b}\theta^{\gamma}{}_{c}\theta^{\beta}{}_{a},
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

together with

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_K(\varphi,\epsilon) &= \epsilon_K, \qquad \lambda_S(\varphi,\epsilon)^{b}{}_{\beta} = {\epsilon_S}^{b}{}_{\beta} + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ab}\epsilon_K\theta^{\alpha}{}_{a}, \\
{\lambda_L}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}(\varphi,\epsilon) &= {\epsilon_L}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} - \alpha\Big[(\theta\epsilon_S)^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}(\theta\epsilon_S)\Big] - \frac{\alpha}{2}\epsilon_K\epsilon_{\gamma\beta}\epsilon^{cb}\theta^{\alpha}{}_{b}\theta^{\gamma}{}_{c}, \\
{\lambda_R}^{a}{}_{b}(\varphi,\epsilon) &= {\epsilon_R}^{a}{}_{b} + \beta\Big[(\theta\epsilon_S)^{a}{}_{b} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{a}_{b}(\theta\epsilon_S)\Big] + \frac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_K\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ca}\theta^{\beta}{}_{b}\theta^{\alpha}{}_{c}, \\
\lambda_C(\varphi,\epsilon) &= \epsilon_C - \gamma(\theta\epsilon_S) + 2x\epsilon_K.
\end{aligned}$$

So far we have discussed the coset space D(2,1;γ)$^{\mathrm{C}}$/$\hat{\mathrm{H}}$⊗U(1)$^{\mathrm{C}}$. We may enlarge the coset space to D(2,1;γ)$^{\mathrm{C}}$/H⊗U(1) as

$$\frac{\mathrm{D}(2,1,\epsilon)^{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{H}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)} = \frac{\mathrm{D}(2,1,\epsilon)^{\mathrm{C}}}{\hat{\mathrm{H}}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^{\mathrm{C}}} \otimes \frac{\hat{\mathrm{H}}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^{\mathrm{C}}}{\{\mathrm{H}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)\}^{\mathrm{C}}} \otimes \frac{\{\mathrm{H}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)\}^{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{H}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)}$$

Correspondingly an element $e^{i\varphi\cdot X} \in$ D(2,1;γ)$^{\mathrm{C}}$/{$\hat{\mathrm{H}}$⊗U(1)$^{\mathrm{C}}$} is generalized to

$$U(\varphi,\sigma) = e^{\varphi\cdot X}e^{a_Y(\varphi,\sigma)\cdot Y}e^{b_L(\varphi,\sigma)\cdot L + b_R(\varphi,\sigma)\cdot R}e^{c(\varphi,\sigma)C} \in \frac{\mathrm{D}(2,1;\gamma)^{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{H}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)}. \tag{29}$$

It becomes a coset element of D(2,1;γ)/{H⊗U(1)} when imposed on D(2,1;γ) the unitarity $U^{\dagger}U = UU^{\dagger} = 1$ and the complex structure so that $X^{\dagger i} = Y^{i}$ and $\varphi^{*i} = \sigma^{i}$. Then $a_Y(\varphi,\sigma)$ consisting of $a_K(\varphi,\sigma)$ and ${a_S}^{a}{}_{\alpha}(\varphi,\sigma)$ are complex functions, but ${b_L}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}(\varphi,\sigma), {b_R}^{a}{}_{b}(\varphi,\sigma)$ and $c(\varphi,\sigma)$ real functions by definition of the coset space $\{\mathrm{H}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)\}^{\mathrm{C}}$/{H⊗U(1)}[14]. They are determined by the unitarity of $U(\varphi,\sigma)$. Practically it is done by writing the unitary condition as

$$e^{\sigma\cdot Y}e^{\varphi\cdot X} = e^{-a_Y(\varphi,\sigma)^{*}\cdot X}e^{-2[b_L(\varphi,\sigma)\cdot L + b_R(\varphi,\sigma)\cdot R + c(\varphi,\sigma)C]}e^{-a_Y(\varphi,\sigma)\cdot Y}, \tag{30}$$

and evaluating both sides in an appropriate matrix representation, say $d\times d$ supermatrix representation. Thus we obtain $U(\varphi,\sigma)$ as a coset element of D(2,1;γ)/{H⊗U(1)}.

Now we are in a position to discuss the Killing scalar for the coset space D(2,1;γ)/H$\otimes$ U(1). For a left multiplication of an element $e^{i\epsilon\cdot T_{\rm D}} \in$ D(2,1;γ) the coset element $U(\varphi,\sigma)$ transforms as

$$U(\varphi,\sigma) \longrightarrow e^{i\epsilon\cdot T_{\rm D}} U(\varphi,\sigma) e^{-i\rho(\varphi,\sigma,\epsilon)\cdot H} = U(\varphi'(\varphi,\epsilon),\sigma'(\sigma,\epsilon)), \tag{31}$$

with an appropriately chosen compensator $e^{i\rho(\varphi,\sigma,\epsilon)\cdot H + i\rho_C(\varphi,\sigma,\epsilon)C}$. $U(\varphi,\sigma)$ has been already obtained in the $d\times d$ supermatrix representation. Then the Killing scalar $\Upsilon(\varphi,\sigma)$ of the coset space D(2,1;γ)/H$\otimes$U(1), which transforms as

$$\Upsilon(\varphi,\sigma) \longrightarrow e^{i\epsilon\cdot T_{\rm D}} \Upsilon(\varphi,\sigma), \tag{32}$$

is given by taking any column vector from $U(\varphi,\sigma)$, for example

$$\Upsilon(\varphi,\sigma) = \sum_{d=1}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} {U^1}_d(\varphi,\sigma) \\ {U^2}_d(\varphi,\sigma) \\ \vdots \\ {U^n}_d(\varphi,\sigma) \end{pmatrix} \eta^d. \tag{33}$$

Here η is a quantity transforming as $\eta \to e^{i\rho(\theta,\omega,\epsilon)\cdot H + i\rho_C(\varphi,\sigma,\epsilon)C}\eta$, which is induced by the transformation (31). Its existence was shown in [8].

5 Symmetry reduction to PSU(2|2)$\otimes$U(1)^3

Now we come to the main point of this letter. Let us reduce the D(2,1;γ) symmetry to PSU(2|2)$\otimes$U(1)^3 in the non-linear representation. The Lie-algebra in this limit was given (14) and (15), while (11)$\sim$(13) vanishing after the rescaling $(C,P,K) \to \frac{1}{\gamma}(C,P,K)$. To find the Killing vectors (28) in the scaling limit, the calculation in the previous section should be redone with these algebra. We then find them to tend to

$$\begin{aligned} -i\epsilon^{\Xi} R_P^{\Xi} &= \epsilon_P - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ab}{\theta^\alpha}_a \epsilon_{Qb}^{\beta} - \frac{1}{12}(\alpha-\beta)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ab}{\theta^\alpha}_a {\theta^\gamma}_b {(\theta\epsilon_S)^\beta}_\gamma, \\ -i\epsilon^{\Xi} {R_Q^{\Xi\alpha}}_a &= {\epsilon_Q}^\alpha{}_a + {(\theta\epsilon_L)^\alpha}_a - {(\theta\epsilon_R)^\alpha}_a - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta){\theta^\beta}_a {(\theta\epsilon_S)^\alpha}_\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

It amounts to dropping the coupling terms of the parameters $\epsilon_C, \epsilon_P, \epsilon_K$ and the coordinate x in (28). It is because the multiple commutators with C, P, K in the r.h.s. of (25) are vanishing when calculated in the limit. Correspondingly the coset space D(2,1;γ)/{H$\otimes$U(1)} is reduced to PSU(2|2)$\otimes$U(1)^3/ {H$\otimes$U(1)}. The transformation (34) represents the PSU(2|2)$\otimes$U(1)^3 symmetry on this reduced coset space. Thus a non-simple group symmetry such as PSU(2|2)$\otimes$U(1)^3 has been non-linearly realized in a definite way. Without the above symmetry reduction it would have been found hardly. This is an important point in this letter. Thus the coset space PSU(2|2)$\otimes$U(1)^3/{H$\otimes$U(1)} gets well-defined by the Killing vectors (34). For this coset space we may find the Killing scalar as well. Here also we had better recalculate it as has been done for the Killing vectors (34), rather than resort to a scaling argument of (33). It takes the form

$$\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}(\varphi,\sigma) = e^{ixP+iyK}\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}}(\theta,\omega), \tag{35}$$

with $\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}}(\theta,\omega)$ the Killing scalar for the coset space PSU(2|2)/H. The phase factor is due to the decoupling of C, P, K in the limit. This form of the Killing scalar may be alternatively understood by writing the coset space PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3/{H⊗U(1)} as PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^2/H. Namely the phase factor in (35) is due to the U(1)^2 charge. The Killing scalar (35) transforms as

$$\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}(\varphi,\sigma) \longrightarrow e^{i\epsilon\cdot T_{\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}}\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}(\varphi,\sigma), \tag{36}$$

by the non-linear transformation (34) by the construction. It is interesting to observe that the linear transformation (36) is obtained although the phase factor $e^{ixP+iyK}$ is subjected to the non-linear transformation by the Killing vector R_P^{Ξ} in (34). (36) is isomorphic to the transformation (21). Hence $\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}$ may be identified with the spin-variable ψ_m of the spin-system with PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3. Consequently we are led to claim that the non-linear σ model on PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3/{H⊗U(1)} is equivalent to the spin-system with the centrally extended symmetry PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3.

In the PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 limit the assumed $d\times d$ matrix representation in (33) becomes reducible to that of 4×4 matrix. When we use the latter representation the equivalence between the non-linear σ-model and the spin-system becomes more clear, by writing the Killing scalar $\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}}(\theta,\omega)$ in (35) in an explicit form. To this end we need an element of PSU(2|2)/H similar to (29),

$$U(\theta,\omega) = e^{\theta\cdot Q}e^{a(\theta,\omega)\cdot S}e^{b_L(\theta,\omega)\cdot L+b_R(\theta,\omega)\cdot R+c(\theta,\omega)C} \in \mathrm{PSU}(2|2)/\mathrm{H}. \tag{37}$$

The parameter functions are obtained as the PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 limit of $U(\varphi,\sigma)\in$ D(2,1;γ)/ H⊗U(1), but may be directly found by repeating the procedure again. We work out the unitary condition (30) for this case. Use the matrix representation (19) of PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 in the PSU(2|2) limit, defined by (18). We then find (37) to be

$$U(\theta,\omega) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} U^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}(\theta,\omega) & U^{\gamma}{}_{d}(\theta,\omega) \\ \hline U^{c}{}_{\delta}(\theta,\omega) & U^{c}{}_{d}(\theta,\omega) \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\theta\omega}} & \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\sqrt{1+\theta\omega}}\theta \\ \hline \frac{-\mathcal{D}}{\sqrt{1+\omega\theta}}\omega & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\omega\theta}} \end{array}\right).$$

Here note that $\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{D}$ since we have the constraint $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D} = \alpha = -\beta$ from (20). Hence the complex structure was assumed also for PSU(2|2). With this $U(\theta,\omega)$ the Killing scalar $\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}}(\theta,\omega)$ of the form (33) becomes explicit as

$$\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}}(\theta,\omega) = \left(\begin{array}{c} U^{\gamma}{}_{d}(\theta,\omega) \\ \hline U^{c}{}_{d}(\theta,\omega) \end{array}\right)\eta^d = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\sqrt{1+\theta\omega}}\theta \\ \hline \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\omega\theta}} \end{array}\right)\eta, \tag{38}$$

for instance. Thus the Killing scalar $\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}(\varphi,\sigma)$ given by (35) represents two-component spinors of the spin-variable ψ_m.

6 Conclusion

In this letter we have discussed the central extension of PSU(2|2) to PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 in the non-linear representation. To this end we studied the coset space D(2,1;γ)/{H⊗U(1)} choosing H to be SU(2|2)⊗SU(2|2). Then the coset space PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3/{H⊗U(1)} was well-defined by the symmetry reduction of D(2,1;γ) to PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 in the former coset space. The Killing scalar $\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}(\varphi,\sigma)$ for this reduced coset space was obtained in the form (35). It was shown to transform as (36) by the non-linear transformation of the reduced coset space, given by (34). Identifying $\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}(\varphi,\sigma)$ with the spin-variable ψ_m we have claimed that the non-linear σ-model on PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3/{H⊗U(1)} is equivalent to the spin-system with the PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 symmetry.

The central extension of PSU(2|2) to PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 in the non-linear representation can be similarly done by choosing H to be U(1)⊗U(1) instead of SU(2|2)⊗SU(2|2). For this case the PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3 symmetry is realized on the coset space PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3/U(1)^3, which is a central extension of the coset space PSU(2|2)/U(1)^2. It is obtained from D(2,1;γ)/U(1)^3 by the symmetry reduction discussed in this letter. To study these coset spaces the generators of PSU(2|2) are furthermore decomposed as

$$\{T^{\Xi}_{\mathrm{PSU}}\}=\{\underbrace{L^1{}_2,L^2{}_1,R^1{}_2,R^2{}_1}_{\mathcal{P}},\underbrace{Q^a{}_\alpha,S^\alpha{}_a}_{\mathcal{Q}},\underbrace{L^1{}_1(=-L^2{}_2),R^1{}_1(=-R^2{}_2)}_{\mathcal{H}}\}.$$

The coset space PSU(2|2)/U(1)^2 is mimic to PSU(2,2|4)/{SO(1,4)⊗SO(5)} discussed in [15]. It is parametrized by the coordinates $X_{\mathrm{S}^2\otimes\mathrm{S}^2}$ of S^2⊗S^2 and the fermionic ones Θ, which correspond to the coset generators $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ respectively. The Killing scalar for the coset space takes the same form as for PSU(2,2|4)/{SO(1,4)⊗SO(5)}[12]

$$\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}}(X,\Theta)=e^{i(X_{\mathrm{S}^2\otimes\mathrm{S}^2}\cdot\mathcal{P}+\Theta\cdot\mathcal{Q})}\eta. \tag{39}$$

It resembles to the vertex operator of the Green-Schwarz superstring. We consider an enlarged coset space as D(2,1;γ)/U(1)^3 by introducing the coordinates x and $y(=x^*)$ as previously. For this enlarged coset space there exists the Killing scalar, say $\Upsilon(x,y,X_{\mathrm{S}^2\otimes\mathrm{S}^2},\Theta)$. The central extension of the Killing scalar (39) is the limit of it in which D(2,1;γ)/U(1)^3 gets reduced to PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3/U(1)^3, i.e.,

$$\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)^3}(x,y,X_{\mathrm{S}^2\otimes\mathrm{S}^2},\Theta)=e^{ixP+iyK}\Upsilon_{\mathrm{PSU}}(X_{\mathrm{S}^2\otimes\mathrm{S}^2},\Theta). \tag{40}$$

It corresponds to (35) in the previous argument, and has the same transformation property as (36) by the non-linear transformation realized on the PSU(2|2)⊗U(1)^3/U(1)^3.

Either of the Killing scalars $\Upsilon(\varphi,\sigma)$ and $\Upsilon(x,y,X_{\mathrm{S}^2\otimes\mathrm{S}^2},\Theta)$, given in a form such as (33), satisfies the exchange algebra with the universal R-matrix of D(2,1;γ), when non-linear σ-models on those coset spaces are quantized following [8, 12]. The universal R-matrix becomes position-dependent as the consequence of the symmetry reducing of these Killing scalars as (35) and (40) respectively. The real problem is to understand how the U(1) phase factors in (35) and (40) are braided in the correlation functions (1) so that the R-matrix satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation[2]. We hope that the non-linear representation presented in this letter would shed new light on such a study.

References

[1] D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena, H. Nastase, "Strings in flat space and pp waves from $N = 4$ Super Yang Mills", JHEP **0204** (2002) 013, arXiv:hep-th/0202021;

S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory", Phys. Lett. B**428**(1998)105, arXiv:hep-th/9802109;

J. A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, "The Bethe-ansatz for $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills", JHEP **0303**(2003)103, arXiv:hep-th/0212208.

[2] N. Beisert, "The SU(2|2) dynamic S-Matrix", Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **12**(2008)945, arXiv:hep-th/0511082.

[3] V. Chari, A. Pressley, "A guide to quantum groups", Cambridge University Press 1994.

[4] S. M. Khoroshkin, V. N. Tolstoy, "Universal R-matrix for quantized (super)algebras", Commun. Math. Phys. **141** (1991) 599.

[5] S. Aoyama, "Topological gravity with exchange algebra", Phys. Lett. B**324** (1994) 303, arXiv:hep-th/9311054.

[6] J. Plefka, F. Spill, A. Torrielli, "On the Hopf algebra structure of the AdS/CFT S-matrix, Phys. Rev. D**74**(2006)066008, arXiv:hep-th/0608038;

A. Torrielli, "Classical r-matrix of the $su(2|2)$ SYM spin-chain", Phys. Rev D**75**(2007)105020, arXiv:hep-th/0701281;

S. Moriyama, A. Torrielli, "A Yangian double for the AdS/CFT classical r-matrix", JHEP **0706**(2007)083, arXiv:0706.0884[hep-th];

N. Beisert and F. Spill, "The Classical r-matrix of AdS/CFT and its Lie bialgebra structure", Commun. Math. Phys. **85**(2009)537, arXiv:0708.1762[hep-th];

N.Beisert, "The classical trigonometric r-Matrix for the quantum-deformed Hubbard chain", J. Phys. A**44**(2011)265202, arXiv:1002.1097[hep-th].

[7] T. Matsumoto, S. Moriyama, "An Exceptional algebraic origin of the AdS/CFT Yangian symmetry", JHEP **0804**(2008)022 , arXiv:0803.1212 [hep-th].

[8] S. Aoyama, "Killing scalar of non-linear sigma models on G/H realizing the classical exchange algebra", Phys. Lett. B**737** (2014) 352 ,arXiv:1405.4638 [hep-th].

[9] S. Aoyama, K. Ishii, "Consistently constrained SL(N) WZWN models and classical exchange algebra, JHEP **1303**(2013)095, arXiv:1301.2938[hep-th].

[10] S. Aoyama, K. Ishii, "Constrained WZWN models on G/{S⊗U(1)^n} and exchange algebra of G-primaries, Nucl. Phys. B**876**(2013)715, arXiv:1306.0718[hep-th].

[11] S. Aoyama, "Classical exchange algebra of the superstring on S^5 with AdS-time", J. Phys. A**47**(2014)075402, arXiv:0709.3911[hep-th].

[12] S. Aoyama, "PSU(2,2|4) exchange algebra of N=4 superconformal multiplets", arXiv:1412.7808[hep-th].

[13] Y. Honda, "The Killing vectors on PSU(2|2)/{SU(2)⊗U(1)} and D(2,1;γ)/{SU(2) ⊗SU(2)⊗U(1)}", http://dx.doi.org/10.14945/00008095.

[14] K. Itoh, T. Kugo, H. Kunitomo, "Supersymmetric nonlinear realization for arbitrary Kählerian coset space G/H", Nucl. Phys. B**263**(1986)295.

[15] R.R. Metsaev, A.A. Tseytlin, "Type IIB superstring action in AdS$_5\times$S^5 background", Nucl. Phys. B**533** (1998) 109, arXive:hep-th/9805028.